

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/031,401	Applicant(s) WILHELM ET AL.	
	Examiner Jennifer I. Harle	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Jennifer I. Harle.

(3) _____.

(2) Patrick Sakcel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Allowance of claims 4-7, if dependency of claims 5 and 7 are amended to depend from claim 4 and if X23 is canceled from claim 5. Also authorization to cancel claims 1-3 and 8-13. Applicants' representative authorized amending the dependency of claims 5 and 7 to depend from claim 4 and deletion of X23 from claim 5, as well as cancellation of any remaining claim. The cancellation of remaining claims was to be without prejudice..